| 1 | didn't fulfill didn't really satisfy wasn't |
|----|--|
| 2 | really satisfactory for all the activities and |
| 3 | responsibilities I had at that time. |
| 4 | Q What was the staffing of the station? |
| 5 | A The staffing in the station working the |
| 6 | years I've been there, it hasn't really changed very |
| 7 | much. I guess as we've talked here, there's generally |
| 8 | been a General Manager, generally, most times. There |
| 9 | was myself. Maybe one other admin type person sitting |
| 10 | in the front office. We've had several, but never |
| 11 | more than one other person besides myself in the front |
| 12 | office. |
| 13 | Then there's the announcing staff. The |
| 14 | announcing staff when I got there consisted of two |
| 15 | full time announcers and a few part time or as-needed |
| 16 | announcers. And today the statio still consists of a |
| 17 | General Manager and me and, you know, one or two other |
| 18 | people plus a couple of and there's two still, two |
| 19 | full time announcers at the station. |
| 20 | The station's run very pretty much as |
| 21 | radio stations go, it's about a fairly small |
| 22 | station. And we do quite a bit with, you know, keeping |
| 23 | the radio station on the air seven days a week 24 |
| 24 | hours a day with such a small staff. |
| 25 | Q So the announcers are on air people? |

| 1 | A The announcers are responsible for making |
|----|---|
| 2 | announcements and making sure the programs actually |
| 3 | start and make the announcements between the program, |
| 4 | monitor monitor the equipment during their shift. |
| 5 | So basically you'd have one announcer on at a time. |
| 6 | Q Do they have anything to do with sort of |
| 7 | the administrative operations of the station? |
| 8 | A Generally they don't have at our |
| 9 | station they don't have any much to do with |
| 10 | administration of the station. They pretty much do |
| 11 | their shift back in the studio. They come in, and we |
| 12 | let them out of the room once in a while, but they |
| 13 | basically do their shift in the back back in the |
| 14 | studio. So they would pretty much everything they |
| 15 | need to do is done in front of a microphone and some |
| 16 | recording equipment or playback equipment. |
| 17 | Q So how many people are actually sort of |
| 18 | administering the operations of the station? |
| 19 | A Administering the operations including |
| 20 | the announcers? Or you mean not |
| 21 | Q What I'm saying is if the announcers |
| 22 | aren't involved in that, then how many people are? |
| 23 | A Oh, well let's say, you'd have like |
| 24 | perhaps the you know, you could look from the KALW |
| 25 | Program Guides to see this. It was the General |
| | |

| 1 | Manager, generally myself, a chief engineer and then |
|----|--|
| 2 | maybe another administrative person. So we're looking |
| 3 | at just a handful, a very small handful of people who |
| 4 | were involved in trying to keep this place going. |
| 5 | Q And what was your understanding of what |
| 6 | the General Manager's job is? |
| 7 | A The General Manager certainly was overall |
| 8 | responsible for all activities at the station. They |
| 9 | were the manager he or she was the manager of |
| 10 | everyone, myself included. They managed managed the |
| 11 | entire staff, such as it was. And so they were the |
| 12 | manager of overseeing whoever else, the activities of |
| 13 | everyone else who was there. |
| 14 | I took that they were in charge of making |
| 15 | programming decisions as far as what programs we were |
| 16 | going to run overall, what the schedule of programming |
| 17 | would be. If there was a change to be made, the |
| 18 | General Manager I always took as part of their |
| 19 | understood it that that was always part of their job. |
| 20 | They also would be involved in any kind of |
| 21 | major overseeing fundraising overall activities and |
| 22 | whatever strategies we were going to use as far as our |
| 23 | fundraising. |
| 24 | Being responsible for doing any hiring |
| 25 | that we were going to be doing at the station. That |

| 1 | was always I saw the prerogative of the General |
|----|--|
| 2 | Manager. |
| 3 | Being involved with the whole legal the |
| 4 | legal responsibility of the station, whatever and |
| 5 | whatever that required. |
| 6 | So those are the kind of overall duties |
| 7 | that I saw the General Manager took on. |
| 8 | Q Did you ever aspire or do you ever aspire |
| 9 | to be General Manager of KALW? |
| 10 | A I found that over time I have never |
| 11 | actually ever wanted to be the General Manager of the |
| 12 | station. I very much enjoy the job I do now and the |
| 13 | tasks, the day-to-day basic running of the stations, |
| 14 | the operation side of it has been of interest to me. |
| 15 | And I don't I've never really been an on-air radio |
| 16 | person. I've never I probably could count on one |
| 17 | hand all the times I've ever been in front of a |
| 18 | microphone at KALW even. So it's not something that |
| 19 | I would aspire to. |
| 20 | Q Okay. But you have testified that there |
| 21 | were a couple of times during the course of the years |
| 22 | where we've been talking about for the last two days |
| 23 | where you did stand in for the General Manager, right? |
| 24 | A There were a couple of times, again, in |
| 25 | early 1998 when Jeff Ramirez left and then in late |
| [| |

24

25

2000 when Michael Johnson left as General Manager that I was asked by the School District officials who oversaw the radio station at the time, since they -basically I was the only other person they considered of the management team of the station to stand in for -- and just make sure the place running while they did whatever they were going to do to find a new General Manager. And so I said I would do it. And I saw that pretty much as just keep doing what I was doing. that's -- that's pretty much what I did during those periods of time. I didn't really see that I was going to take on the responsibilities of General Manager. I was going to -- whatever responsibilities were there, the new General Manager would take them on when he or she was hired.

Q When you served as a stand in for a General Manager, did the station get additional administrative help to fill the vacancy?

A At that time nobody else was hired b the District to help me out and they never considered -- unless I had asked them to, perhaps they would have. But at that point they didn't hire anybody to bring in during those periods of time. So it was just me -- pretty much just me. So I was stretched just as thin as I was -- but the station staff was stretched just

| 2 | Manager when we did have the General Manager. |
|----|--|
| 3 | Q So what additional tasks did you take on |
| 4 | when you standing in for the General Manager that you |
| 5 | understood? |
| 6 | A As I understood, they didn't the School |
| 7 | District, nobody at the School District in those |
| 8 | periods of time asked me to do anything, but just in |
| 9 | case anything came up that they felt they needed to be |
| 10 | that if anything came up, I would be there. I would |
| 11 | be the person who could do it in case something did |
| 12 | come up. But as far as just doing the day-to-day work, |
| 13 | pretty much my day-to-day work, as I recall, was about |
| 14 | the same. |
| 15 | I didn't go off and make programming |
| 16 | changes because all of a sudden I was standing in for |
| 17 | the General Manager. And I wasn't didn't run and do |
| 18 | a lot of hiring just because I was the stand in for |
| 19 | the General Manager and had that opportunity. |
| 20 | I don't recall even moving my desk into |
| 21 | the General Manager's private office even. I pretty |
| 22 | much just stayed where I was out there out there in |
| 23 | the front office in the open office where I had my |
| 24 | desk even when there was a General Manager. |
| 25 | Q And how did you know if there was |

as thin as it was when -- before we had a General

| 1 |
|---------|
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |
| 6 |
| 7 |
| 8 |
| 9 L0 |
| 11 |

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

something you were supposed to be doing in your role

as a stand in General Manager that was different from
what you had been doing all along as an Operations
Manager?

A Pretty much I didn't know of anything -of anything that I was supposed to be doing. If
something had been brought to y attention by -- by
someone and said something needed to be done, I
probably would have taken that on and taken -- done it
at the time. And both periods -- both periods when I
took -- I became stand in for Jeff Ramirez and then
for Michael Johnson, I don't recall even -- either of
them having any conversations with me about "Okay now,
Bill, when I'm gone, this is what you've got to do."
There was never any -- that I can recall, any
conversations about -- from either of them handing off
responsibilities to me that needed to get done while-once they were gone.

Q Do you remember Ernie Sanchez or Susan Jenkins telling you of something that needed to be done during the times you were serving as stand in for the General Manager?

A No. The only -- during that time in '98 I don't recall them either making -- calling me or communicating with me in anyway regarding anything I

NEAL R. GROSS

1 2

3

4 5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was supposed to be doing or -- and if they had, certainly I would have. I don't recall requests. specific requests from them for any particular action.

In period late 2000 and early 2001 when I started in late 2000 there was the stand in again after Mr. Johnson, I don't recall that I even had any communication with them until in February of 2001 when they received their Letter of Inquiry from the FCC. And when that came in, and they sent me a faxed copy of that and I read that, I got on the phone to them to Ernie and to Susan. And the letter, and I said basically to them "All right, what do you need me to do? You're the experts here. What action needs to be taken?" And so they told -- we -- we talked about it. And I took it from them that they knew -- since they were the legal experts and knew what to do, when they asked me to do something, I did it. I followed their -- I followed their lead on it. And other then that, that was the -- that was the extent of -- during that period of time until Nicole Sawaya came on, that was my -- and then she, of course, came on as General That I recall being -- being involved with Ernie Sanchez as far as being the stand in GM.

Okay. Do you recall the 1998 declaration 0 that you have testified about probably two days ago

NEAL R. GROSS

| 1 | now? | |
|----|-------------|---|
| 2 | A | Was it two now? |
| 3 | Q | Yes. |
| 4 | A | Yes. |
| 5 | Q | Do you remember the 1998 declaration'? |
| 6 | A | The January '98 declaration? Yes. |
| 7 | Q | Yes. |
| 8 | A | I remember that. |
| 9 | Q | Let's see how much of this we can do |
| 10 | without act | ually going through the document again. |
| 11 | A | Okay. |
| 12 | Q | What did you understand the purpose of |
| 13 | that declar | ation to be? |
| 14 | A | They explained I as I understood it, |
| 15 | the declara | tion was to establish the fact that I |
| 16 | that my pri | vate that my private files at KALW had |
| 17 | contained d | ocuments and that those documents were used |
| 18 | by GGPR as | part of their challenge to deny KALW's |
| 19 | license ren | ewal. |
| 20 | Q | And who prepared your 1997 declaration? |
| 21 | A | Ernie Sanchez and I assume his associate, |
| 22 | Susan Jenki | ns prepared that document. |
| 23 | Q | And who told you what was going to be in |
| 24 | the declara | tion, if anyone? |
| 25 | A | I I don't recall at this point who told |

| 1 | me. I would imagine it just was it was at some |
|----|--|
| 2 | point prepared and sent to the station and I reviewed |
| 3 | it. And out of conversations, perhaps I had had |
| 4 | conversations with with Ernie Sanchez and Susan |
| 5 | before that. And there the thrust of their of |
| 6 | their pleading of their document that they were |
| 7 | responding with was that documents and emails from |
| 8 | KALW's files and computers had been used to to |
| 9 | as part of the GGPRs challenge. And he was he was |
| 10 | objecting to that. |
| 11 | Q Okay. I'm going to ask you to take a look |
| 12 | at the declaration in a minute. |
| 13 | MR. DUNCAN: Sorry, Your Honor. I'll be |
| 14 | on this in a second. |
| 15 | JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. We can go off the |
| 16 | record. |
| 17 | (Whereupon, at 1:33 p.m. off the record |
| 18 | until 11:33 p.m.) |
| 19 | BY MR. DUNCAN: |
| 20 | Q I'm going to ask you to take a look once |
| 21 | again at your 1998 declaration, which is at SFUSD |
| 22 | Exhibit 4 page 74 and 75. And I wonder if you could |
| 23 | look at the paragraphs of that declaration that we did |
| 24 | not that Mr. Shook did not take you through. I |
| 25 | believe that's paragraphs 5, 6, 7 and 8. |

| 1 | A One moment. I just want to review it for |
|----|---|
| 2 | a moment. Just to make sure I know which ones you're |
| 3 | talking about. |
| 4 | Q Okay. |
| 5 | A Okay. |
| 6 | Q Do any of those paragraphs 5, 6, 7 or 8 of |
| 7 | your declaration refer to the public inspection file? |
| 8 | A No. These this these paragraphs all |
| 9 | refer to files contained in the private files of my |
| 10 | of the file cabinet that sat in the office of the |
| 11 | station. And I believe that did we refer also to |
| 12 | paragraph 8? I mean, was that one that you were also |
| 13 | aware of? Is that the one that refers to the in |
| 14 | paragraph 8 that refers to the computers of the radio |
| 15 | station. |
| 16 | Q Okay. |
| 17 | A So it doesn't refer to the those |
| 18 | particular paragraphs don't refer to the public file |
| 19 | documents. |
| 20 | Q And do the content of those paragraphs |
| 21 | match your understanding of what the purpose of your |
| 22 | giving this declaration was? |
| 23 | A Yes, it does. It refers to the fact that |
| 24 | these were documents were in my private files at the |
| 25 | station and that they were used without my knowing as |
| | |

| 1 | part of Golden Gate Public Radio's challenge to the |
|----|--|
| 2 | license. And it lists I state that various people |
| 3 | on there did not I did not give any of those people |
| 4 | who were listed there permission to look in or access |
| 5 | documents in those private files. |
| 6 | Q Okay. And now let me ask you to look at |
| 7 | paragraph 4, which is the paragraph you'll remember |
| 8 | because you and Mr. Shook spent a fair amount of time |
| 9 | on it. Do you remember that paragraph? |
| 10 | A Yes, I do. |
| 11 | Q Do you remember the discussions you've had |
| 12 | over the last couple of days about that paragraph? |
| 13 | A I recall those discussions about this |
| 14 | paragraph about that paragraph, yes. |
| 15 | Q Okay. This says that you had assisted |
| 16 | were aware of and had assisted with an ongoing effort |
| 17 | since the arrival of Jeff Ramirez as General Manager |
| 18 | to update and maintain the public inspection file. |
| 19 | And I'm curious to what you meant by "since the |
| 20 | arrival of Jeff Ramirez as General Manager." |
| 21 | A Well I was just what I was trying to do |
| 22 | there is just kind of place in time since he arrived, |
| 23 | obviously not before, I wasn't making any assertion in |
| 24 | that the way this was written I'm not making any |
| 25 | assertion about before he arrived, but since he |
| | 1 |

| 1 | arrived that he had bee that I was aware of his |
|----|--|
| 2 | his actions and his concern regarding the public file. |
| 3 | And if he was doing work, I was aware of his doing |
| 4 | work regarding the public file at KALW. |
| 5 | Q And do you have recollection of when you |
| 6 | recall his work on the public file starting? |
| 7 | A I don't recall exactly when I remember it |
| 8 | starting. I didn't I didn't in anyway want to imply |
| 9 | that it was, say, in day one he walked in the office |
| 10 | and started. But since since he had arrived he had |
| 11 | he had worked on it. And I was aware that he had |
| 12 | worked on it in the time since he had arrived. And so |
| 13 | that's what that that was the implication of |
| 14 | that statement. |
| 15 | Q Mr. Shook also drew your attention to, I |
| 16 | guess it's the end of that sentence which says that |
| 17 | you were aware of and assisted in this effort of |
| 18 | Jeff's to update and maintain the PIF in accordance |
| 19 | with the rules of the Federal Communications |
| 20 | Commission. Do you remember those discussions? |
| 21 | A I do recall that, yes. |
| 22 | Q What did you mean by that, the reference |
| 23 | to the Federal Communications Commission rules? |
| 24 | A Well, I'm not sure what the Federal |
| 25 | Communications Commission at that time when I wrote |

| 1 | this, when I signed this declaration and it was |
|----|---|
| 2 | presented to me, I wasn't sure what the Federal |
| 3 | Communications Commission rules were regarding the |
| 4 | public file. I assumed that Jeff did and the work he |
| 5 | was doing was being done to comply with those rules. |
| 6 | As General Manager I assumed he knew what those rules |
| 7 | were. And I had no reason not to be to not believe |
| 8 | that. And if in the course of being sometime in |
| 9 | the course of his dealing with the public file he |
| 10 | requested some assistance from me, I would have |
| 11 | offered I would have given it to him, and did give |
| 12 | it to him, as I can recall, in the period of time |
| 13 | before I signed this declaration. |
| 14 | JUDGE SIPPEL: That was January of 1998? |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: January mid-January '98. |
| 16 | I think the date on that |
| 17 | JUDGE SIPPEL: January 16. |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: January 16th. |
| 19 | BY MR. DUNCAN: |
| 20 | Q And who was the station's authority on FCC |
| 21 | compliance issues in your mind? |
| 22 | A In my mind the authority about it would be |
| 23 | Ernie Sanchez, our attorney who was the attorney for |
| 24 | the we always referred to as our FCC attorney, |
| 25 | although he's and he was he was the authority as |

| 1 | far as I knew regarding anything about FCC legal |
|----|--|
| 2 | matters. |
| 3 | Q Do you remember and for the record they |
| 4 | are EB Exhibit 11 and EB Exhibit 12. They are a March |
| 5 | '98 faxed cover sheets to you from Ernie Sanchez. One |
| 6 | of them says "Attached is the NAB memo." And the |
| 7 | other says "Attached is the model program list I |
| 8 | promised to send you." Remember the discussions you |
| 9 | had about those documents? |
| 10 | A I remember those cover sheets and there |
| 11 | was some question about what made up actually those |
| 12 | faxes. |
| 13 | Q Right. Do you know why Ernie Sanchez sent |
| 14 | these to you? |
| 15 | A Well and by March the only thing I |
| 16 | can the only reason I'm assuming he sent them to me |
| 17 | was that in March '98 Jeff Ramirez had left the |
| 18 | station. And the School District hadn't gotten around |
| 19 | hadn't at that point appointed a new General |
| 20 | Manager. So I believe in Ernie's in Ernie's sense |
| 21 | I was the only person there who he could address a fax |
| 22 | to regarding any matters that he wanted brought to the |
| 23 | attention of anybody at KALW. |
| 24 | I got them both. There wasn't any request |
| 25 | assigned to them. So at that point, to the best of my |
| | NEAL D. ODOGO |

| 1 | recollection, I would have looked at them and decided |
|----|--|
| 2 | this is something obviously when the new General |
| 3 | Manager comes on in the near future, I was assuming, |
| 4 | this would be something that they wouldthey would |
| 5 | find interesting, would find useful. |
| 6 | Q Did Ernie or anyone from the Sanchez firm |
| 7 | tell you that there was anything that you were |
| 8 | supposed to do in connection with either of those |
| 9 | documents? |
| 10 | A I don't recall ever getting any |
| 11 | communication from Ernie or anyone at the Sanchez law |
| 12 | firm in relationship to these documents saying that I |
| 13 | should be doing something at that point. Again, I just |
| 14 | assumed this is something that is going to be useful |
| 15 | information and certainly the General Manager when he |
| 16 | or she was appointed would would probably find this |
| 17 | of use. |
| 18 | Q Jumping to a new topic. Remember the |
| 19 | discussion that was had between you and Mr. Shook your |
| 20 | knowing in advance of Michael Johnson's departure that |
| 21 | the School District was looking for a new General |
| 22 | Manager? Do you remember that discussion? |
| 23 | A I I think we had that yesterday. |
| 24 | Q (Laughter). |
| 25 | Q We have it day. |
| 1 | |

| / | A Don't need to place it. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q Okay. |
| 3 | A I remember that. |
| 4 | Q There was some mystery about why you would |
| 5 | have known that Michael Johnson was leaving and/or |
| 6 | that the School District was looking for someone new |
| 7 | during that phase. Can you explain that to the Court? |
| 8 | A Certainly. As I recall at that period |
| 9 | of time Michael Johnson was hired at by the San |
| 10 | Francisco Unified School District as General Manager |
| 11 | as a contractor rather than as an employee. His |
| 12 | contract they were and they were much more |
| 13 | comfortable having the School District seems to |
| 14 | want to have divisions, departments of the School |
| 15 | District managed by employees, not contractors. And |
| 16 | so their plan all along was to regularize that |
| 17 | position back into an employee position. And so they |
| 18 | gave Mr. Johnson a they knew they were about to |
| 19 | this and doing whatever paperwork needed to be done, |
| 20 | but and so they offered him a three they gave |
| 21 | him a three month contract that was going to from, I |
| 22 | believe, the start of that fiscal year July 1 and |
| 23 | would end on September 30th. |
| 24 | So during that period of time I became |
| 25 | aware that the School District was going to get ready |
| | |

wanted

to start looking for candidates for the employee type 1 2 General Manager position that they 3 regularize. So that's what -- why I knew that Mr. Johnson's contract was coming to an end. I knew it had 4 that end date of September 30th and the School 5 6 District was going to be looking to put the word out 7 to see if they could -- who would be the new General 8 Manager. 9 0 Thank you. And we jump all the way up to the time 10 when you received the Letter of Inquiry from Ernie. 11 As I understand it, that came to you from the Sanchez 12 firm, is that right? 13 That's right. It seems to -- the letter is Α 14 addressed to Ernie Sanchez. And it was then -- a copy 15 was then faxed to me. 16 Okay. And you've testified, you told Mr. 17 Q Shook and then I think you told us again ten minutes 18 ago that you -- the first thing you did was call the 19 Sanchez firm when you got that. Why did you do that? 20 I called the Sanchez firm because, first 21 Α of all, as I stated a couple of questions ago I 22 considered Ernie Sanchez to be our expert on matters--23 all matters regarding the -- regarding FCC and legal 24 compliance at the station. And since I know that he'd 25

legal

| 1 | been working on the matters regarding the legal |
|----|---|
| 2 | license challenge since '97 and had been our legal |
| 3 | authority since before then, I called him and said, |
| 4 | all right. And my I just basically had a very |
| 5 | general when I talked had the phone |
| 6 | conversation, just very generally, you know. "All |
| 7 | right. What do we do, Ernie?" You know, the you |
| 8 | know, the implication was you're you're the |
| 9 | you're the expert here. Tell me what to do. |
| 10 | Q And did you have any prior experience with |
| 11 | responding to a letter like that from the government? |
| 12 | A In the time I'd been at KALW when |
| 13 | responses had been had to be made to the FCC |
| 14 | regarding, you know, the legal the license renewal |
| 15 | and responses to GGPR, those were always handled or |
| 16 | the point person at the station had been the General |
| 17 | Manager at the time. So this was the first time that |
| 18 | I was effectively because there was no one else at |
| 19 | the station, I effectively de facto became the point |
| 20 | person at the station to deal with it, with with |
| 21 | our attorneys and interact with the attorneys. |
| 22 | Q And who took charge of responding to the |
| 23 | Letter of Inquiry after you called the attorneys? |
| 24 | A Ernie Sanchez and his associate Susan |
| 25 | Jenkins, that's were taking charge of they knew |
| | |

| 1 | that they were that they had to respond to this |
|----|--|
| 2 | letter. And so they took charge of of actually |
| 3 | being responsible for drafting the letter, making |
| 4 | making sure of the answers and whatever documents |
| 5 | needed to be filed, they would tell me what what |
| 6 | they knew what needed to be filed and they wanted |
| 7 | filed. And I just asked them, you know, what what |
| 8 | can I do my end here in San Francisco. And that was my |
| 9 | attitude at that time in February of '01 after getting |
| 10 | after getting the letter. |
| 11 | I never recall sitting with them saying |
| 12 | okay, what's the answer to number 1, what's the answer |
| 13 | to number 2. |
| 14 | Q Thank you. |
| 15 | Do you remember the discussion about a |
| 16 | March 8th memo that Nicole Sawaya apparently wrote to |
| 17 | Ernie Sanchez? Do you remember that discussion? |
| 18 | A Yes. I'd like to look at the memo if |
| 19 | we're going to talk about it, just to give for a |
| 20 | moment to if we're going to talk about it. |
| 21 | Q Okay. That document is at EB Exhibit 21. |
| 22 | A Just. |
| 23 | Q I will get you a copy. |
| 24 | When did you first see that document? |
| 25 | A I recall that in preparation for the |
| } | NEAL D. ODOGG |

| 1 | hearing I've seen it, and that's just recently. I |
|----|---|
| 2 | don't recall if I saw it anytime in March of 2001, |
| 3 | though, when about the time it was prepared. |
| 4 | Q Were you involved in drafting this |
| 5 | document? |
| 6 | A I don't have any recollection of drafting |
| 7 | this document with Nicole, no. |
| 8 | Q Do you remember getting a copy of it, |
| 9 | other than when it was shown to you by your attorneys |
| 10 | in preparation for this hearing? |
| 11 | A I don't recall ever getting my own copy of |
| 12 | this document at that time, other than, as you say, |
| 13 | preparing for this hearing. |
| 14 | Q During this time frame were you involved, |
| 15 | did you know what Nicole was doing relating to the |
| 16 | LOI? |
| 17 | A I knew that Ernie was, you know, being |
| 18 | responsible for preparing whatever response was going |
| 19 | to be made. And while I assumed that he was working |
| 20 | with Nicole, I wasn't I didn't know the details of |
| 21 | what what she was involved in. I knew what I was |
| 22 | doing, but I and regarding the things that are in, |
| 23 | I'd talked with Ernie about, but not what she was |
| 24 | involved in. |
| 25 | Q I think Mr. Shook took you through this |

| 1 | document and asked you particularly with respect to |
|----|---|
| 2 | paragraph 2 of whether Ms. Sawaya had this factual |
| 3 | knowledge about the history of the earthquake and the |
| 4 | office move, etcetera. And I think you indicated that |
| 5 | she wouldn't, as far as you knew, have firsthand |
| 6 | knowledge of that. Is that correct? |
| 7 | A I couldn't see how she would have |
| 8 | firsthand knowledge since she wasn't at the radio |
| 9 | station in the period of the earthquake and post- |
| 10 | earthquake. She wasn't at the station at that time. |
| 11 | So I assume that that wasn't firsthand knowledge. |
| 12 | Q Okay. But she didn't ask you to review |
| 13 | did she ask you to review this document before she |
| 14 | sent it off to Ernie Sanchez? |
| 15 | A I don't recall at anytime she asked me to |
| 16 | review this document in any way before it was sent to |
| 17 | Ernie Sanchez at all. I don't have that recollection. |
| 18 | Q Do you know enough about what Nicole was |
| 19 | doing to know why she prepared this memo which |
| 20 | included some factual information that she didn't |
| 21 | probably have firsthand knowledge of |
| 22 | A Yes. |
| 23 | Q and sent it off to Ernie? Do you know? |
| 24 | A You know you know, I really I don't |
| 25 | know. No. I would have assumed that if she she did |
| | |

| 1 | it, perhaps only it was a request from Ernie regarding |
|----|--|
| 2 | it, perhaps. But that would be the extent of my |
| 3 | knowledge. |
| 4 | Q Do you recall looking with Mr. Shook at |
| 5 | one page of handwritten notes from a telephone |
| 6 | conversation that apparently you had with Susan |
| 7 | Jenkins on or about the end of March of 2001? |
| 8 | A I remember we talked about it in the last |
| 9 | couple of days. |
| 10 | Q Okay. That document was and is SFUSD |
| 11 | Exhibit 14. And I'm going to hand you a copy of that |
| 12 | document and ask you to take a minute and look at it. |
| 13 | A Okay. |
| 14 | JUDGE SIPPEL: That's the same as Bureau |
| 15 | Exhibit 25, is that right? |
| 16 | MR. DUNCAN: I don't know. The |
| 17 | identification on it is what Mr. Shook used, because |
| 18 | that's when I wrote it down. But SFUSD 14 is what I |
| 19 | have. |
| 20 | MS. LEAVITT: Yes. Yes, Your Honor. |
| 21 | JUDGE SIPPEL: These are the same. Okay. |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: Okay. I think I'll try to |
| 23 | answer a question. |
| 24 | MR. DUNCAN: (Laughter.) Okay. Okay. |
| 25 | BY MR. DUNCAN: |
| | |

| 1 | Q Well, the first one's easy. I'm correct |
|----|--|
| 2 | that that's not your handwriting, is that right? |
| 3 | A No, this was not my handwriting. |
| 4 | Q Okay. And so to the extent that you were |
| 5 | being asked questions about those notes, those notes |
| 6 | were at best helping you remember things? Those were |
| 7 | not your notes, you did not see her take those, is |
| 8 | that right? |
| 9 | A No, I was never I didn't see her take |
| 10 | I didn't see her take these notes. |
| 11 | Q Okay. At one point when you were |
| 12 | testifying before about this, you started to explain |
| 13 | what you thought these notes might have been; do you |
| 14 | recall that? |
| 15 | A I was trying to help speculate what they |
| 16 | might have been since I don't I'm not sure about |
| 17 | you know, exactly how they came to be. |
| 18 | Q Well, since we've spent a lot of time |
| 19 | talking about them, can you tell us what your guess is |
| 20 | as to what these might have been? |
| 21 | A My guess would be that since these were |
| 22 | presented to me as being notes from Susan Jenkins and |
| 23 | regarding a phone notes taken sometime around a |
| 24 | phone conversation, as best as I can see they referred |
| 25 | to, perhaps, some notes she had taken and was putting |

| 1 | down items here about the things she wanted to remind |
|----|---|
| 2 | herself to ask me. And then it looks kind of like |
| 3 | other notes are put in the column based on perhaps |
| 4 | what I said in the top off. It mentions something |
| 5 | about the Commission has now something, and I can't |
| 6 | read the handwriting very well. I can't I'm not |
| 7 | sure exactly what it might say here. I think it |
| 8 | doesn't I think that's and I'm not that good at |
| 9 | the handwriting, perhaps. |
| 10 | I can't |
| 11 | Q The fifth line "The Commission has now |
| 12 | abolished this requirement" something. |
| L3 | A Yes. I think that would be something more |
| L4 | along the lines she would be given in her role as an |
| 15 | attorney something she would have explained to me |
| 16 | rather than I would have told her. So that's why I'm |
| 17 | thinking these might have been some kind of notes of |
| 18 | her's that of information that she wanted to pass |
| 19 | on to me or cover items she wanted to cover with me |
| 20 | in a conversation in a telephone conversation. |
| 21 | Q Okay. And can you look at the bottom of |
| 22 | it? That's the top of the page. |
| 23 | A Yes. |
| 24 | Q And then at the bottom of the page it |
| 25 | looks like well, can you tell me about the bottom |
| 1 | |